

REMARKS***Abstract***

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the abstract for having more than 150 words. Applicant has amended the abstract so that it contains less than 150 words, therefore, it is requested that the Examiner's objection be withdrawn. In accordance with the rules, the abstract is included herewith on a separate sheet.

Claim Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 7-8 and 12-13 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 7 has been rewritten in independent form. Therefore, it is requested that claim 7 be allowed, as well as those claims which depend therefrom.

Should the present claims not be deemed adequate to effectively define the patentable subject matter, the Examiner is respectfully urged to call the undersigned attorney of record to discuss the claims in an effort to reach an agreement toward allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 26, 2005

By: 

Richard A. Giangiorgi, Reg. No. 24,284

James R. Foley, Reg. No. 39,879

Attorneys for Applicant

TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,

BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.

105 West Adams Street, 36th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603-6299

Tel: (312) 704-1890

757030.WPD

Serial No.: 10/084,412

Art Unit: 2121

Page 7